**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 14 2006

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GUILLERMO GODINA-SILVA,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-71635

Agency No. A77-343-068

**MEMORANDUM**\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 8, 2006 \*\*

Before: CANBY, BEEZER and KOZINSKI, Circuit Judges.

Guillermo Godina-Silva, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying his application for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo claims of due process violations. *Lopez-Urenda v. Ashcroft*, 345 F.3d 788, 791 (9th Cir. 2003). We deny the petition for review.

The IJ did not deny Godina-Silva due process when he deemed Godina-Silva's cancellation of removal application abandoned for failing to meet the deadline to file his criminal history record. *See Colmenar v. INS*, 210 F.3d 967, 971-72 (9th Cir. 2000) (Due process is denied when "the proceeding was so fundamentally unfair that the alien was prevented from reasonably presenting his case."); 8 C.F.R. § 1003.31(c) (permitting IJ to set filing deadlines and to deem applications abandoned when deadlines not met).

Godina-Silva's remaining contentions lack merit.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.